

Date: February 21, 2017

To: Citizen's Advisory Committee on the Palo Alto Comprehensive Plan

From: Arthur Keller & Daniel Garber, Co-Chairs

RE: Item #1 – Discussion of the January 30, 2017 City Council Meeting

Questions for the CAC to consider:

1. **Programs Location.**

- a. Should the Land Use Programs remain where they are in the Land Use Element?
- b. Or should they be moved into the Implementation Element?
- c. Or moved into some other document outside of the Comp Plan?
- d. Similarly for the Transportation Element.

2. **CAC Involvement.**

- a. If the Programs are not located within the Land Use Element, should the CAC have the opportunity to recommend the Council change some Programs to Policies and or revise the wording of any Program or Policy to better address the separation of Programs from the Goals and Policies?
- b. If the CAC recommendations changes or revisions to the Policies and or Programs, should that work be done by the Implementation Subcommittee or should the Land Use Subcommittee be reconvened to do that work?
- c. Similarly for the Transportation Element.

3. **Continuity with other Elements.**

- a. If all programs are to be removed from the Land Use Element, does it make sense to retain the Programs within the other elements: Housing, Natural Environment, Safety, Community Services, and Business and Economics?

4. **Prioritizing Programs.**

- a. Should the CAC make recommendations to Council on prioritizing the remaining Programs, eliminating redundancies, and or other edits to make them read more clear and concise?
- b. If so, what recommendations does the CAC have for its subcommittee to make these prioritizations?

5. **Work Plan & CAC Commitment.**

- a. If the Council maintains the decisions to move the Programs from the Land Use and Transportation elements, this would be an increase to the existing CAC and Staff work plan.
- b. How should the CAC and Staff divide the task up?
- c. If the members of the CAC want to be involved in this increased task, are you willing to shoulder the burden if more CAC meetings and subcommittee work are added to the current timetable or by extending the timetable out some number of months?